

Appl. No. 10/660,327
Amdt Dated: May 24, 2006
Reply to Office Action of Feb. 24, 2006

REMARKS

Applicant resubmits a new and complete copy of TW publication 77200511.

Applicant appreciates the allowance of claim 21, and indication of allowablities of claims 14-17 and 20.

Claims 1 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by TW publication 91208385.

Claims 2-8, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

According to 35 U.S.C. 102 (e), Section 102 (e) requires the prior art invention to be

1. described in a U.S. patent,
2. invented by another, and
3. filed before the date of the applicant's invention.

Applicant thinks that claims 1 and 13 cannot be rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) by TW publication 91208385 since it is not a prior art reference under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e).

Therefore, claims 1 and 13 are believed to be patentable, and should be allowable.

Especially, amended claim 2 is believed to be a definite claim, and should be allowable.

Therefore, amended claim 2 and claims 3-8, 11 and 12 are also believed to be

Appl. No. 10/660,327
Amdt Dated: May 24, 2006
Reply to Office Action of Feb. 24, 2006

and claims 14-17 and 20 are also believed to be patentable since they depend on the allowable claim 13 directly or indirectly.

In view of the foregoing, the subject application as claimed in the pending claims is in a condition for allowance and an action to such effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Chen, Yun-Lung

By 
Wei Te Chung

Registration No.: 43,325

Foxconn International, Inc.

P. O. Address: 1650 Memorex Drive, Santa Clara, CA 95050

Tel No.: (408) 919-6137